

Energy expenditure studies to predict requirements of selected national athletes

Ismail MN¹, Wan Nudri WD² and Zawiah H³

¹ *Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 50300 Kuala Lumpur.*

² *Division of Human Nutrition, Institute for Medical Research, 50588 Kuala Lumpur*

³ *Nestle Products Sdn. Bhd., P.O.Box 385, 46918 Petaling Jaya, Selangor.*

ABSTRACT

A study to predict energy requirements of national athletes, 84 males and 24 females in 9 and 4 different types of sports respectively, were conducted during centralised training. Parameters assessed were anthropometry, 3-day activity pattern and energy cost (kcal/min) of common activities to derive total daily energy expenditure (TDEE). Based on body mass index (BMI), 68 males or 81% and 19 females or 79% of the athletes were classified as normal. The mean body fat content for males and females were $13.8 \pm 4.5\%$ and $24.7 \pm 5.3\%$, respectively. The mean daily activity pattern of males and females athletes were similar for light activities (16½ hr or 68% of day), for moderate activities (3½ hr or 15% of day in male, 4 hr or 17% in females) while moderate to heavy activities related to training were 4 hr (17%) and 3½ hr (15%) in males and females, respectively. Energy cost of some common activities ranges from 1.00-3.00 kcal/min in males and 0.84-2.04 kcal/min in females, while values for jogging were 6.60 kcal/min and 5.62 kcal/min in males and females, respectively. The mean TDEE in male ranges from 2938 kcal (12.3 MJ) in boxers (57 kg) to 4861 kcal (20.3 MJ) in weightlifters (110 kg) while the mean TDEE in female ranges from 2099 kcal (8.8 MJ) in athletics (51 kg) to 3098 kcal (13.0 MJ) in basketball (61.4 kg). The calculated physical activity level (PAL) values using measured BMR for males and females athletes ranges from 1.99-2.58 and 1.77-2.34, respectively. In conclusion, the estimated energy requirement for the various sports event studied ranges from 44-55 kcal/kg/day in males and 38-50 kcal/kg/day in female athletes.

INTRODUCTION

Energy intake studies in relation to physical performance during training and competition have been the subject of research interest during the past decades (Grandjean, 1989; Chen *et al.*, 1989; van Erp-Baart *et al.*, 1989; Papadokonstantaki *et al.*, 1993). The energy requirement of an individual as defined in the FAO/WHO/UNU (1985) report states that “the energy requirement of an individual is the level of energy intake from food that will balance energy expenditure when the individual has a body size and composition, and level of physical activity, consistent with long-term good health; and that will allow for the maintenance of economically necessary and socially desirable physical activity”. However, in a highly competitive world of sports, the concern about energy must go beyond health and socially desirable activity. It is now clear that the demand for energy varies accordingly to the different training programmes and also differs from one sporting event to another.

The FAO/WHO/UNU (1985) report on energy and protein requirements proposed the use of energy expenditure rather than energy intake as the basis for estimating energy needs in man. Unlike energy intake, reports on energy expenditure studies in competitive sports are limited (Westerterp *et al.*, 1986; Saris *et al.*, 1989)

In Malaysia, energy expenditure studies were mainly conducted in nonathletic populations namely, obese students (Ismail & Chi, 1987); university students (Ismail & Zawiah, 1988); adult Malaysians (Ismail *et al.*, 1994); soldiers (Ismail, Isa & Janudin, 1996) with the exception of one study, a sepak takraw team (Ismail, Wan Nudri & Zawiah, 1995) conducted initially, from a larger pool of national athletes presented in this study. The aim of this study was to assess the physical activity level and the energy requirements and to provide the much needed guidelines on energy intakes for the national athletes during centralised training.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The athletes and types of sports selected were based on medals prospect as determined by the National Sports Council of Malaysia (NSC). A total of 84 male athletes from 9 types of sports and 24 female athletes from 4 types of sports participated in the study. All measurements were conducted during centralised training.

Anthropometry

The height and body weight of subjects (barefoot and in light clothing) were measured to the nearest 0.5cm and 0.1kg respectively, using the Seca weighing balance with attachment for measuring height. Skinfold thickness measurements were taken using the Harpenden Calipers (British Indicators, UK) at 4 sites as recommended by Durnin and Rahaman (1967). Fat content as a percentage of body weight, was calculated from the sum of 4 measurements of skinfold thickness (Durnin & Womersley, 1974). The body mass index (kg/m²) and lean body mass (kg) were also calculated for each subject.

Activity Pattern

Subjects were instructed to accurately fill the diary card in order to provide, as detailed as possible, information on their daily activity patterns (Durnin & Passmore, 1967). Day time activities were constantly monitored by a trained nutritionist, and subjects were individually questioned in cases where irregularities in recording were encountered. Their activities were classified broadly into three categories: light, moderate and heavy. The daily activity pattern during training were reported as a mean of 3-days' activity records.

Energy Expenditure

Daily energy expenditure was assessed by using the time-motion study. The energy cost of some common activities was measured by indirect calorimetry using the Douglas bag technique. The energy cost (kcal/min) was calculated using the Weir formula (1949). The detailed protocol is similar to that reported in earlier studies (Ismail & Chi, 1987; Ismail & Zawiah, 1988). The

limited time available during the centralised training does not permit us to measure all subjects hence, sub-sample were studied. The mean values obtained were used to calculate the energy expenditure for the group. The energy cost of activities that was not measured was derived from the table suggested by Durnin and Passmore (1967). The total daily energy expenditure (TDEE) was calculated by summing up the energy cost for each activity (adjusted for body weight) multiplied by the mean duration of that activity for each subject.

Physical Activity Level

To calculate the physical activity level (PAL) of athletes, the total daily energy expenditure (TDEE) is divided by the basal metabolic rate (BMR) (James & Schofield, 1990). Two PAL values were derived using the following BMR equations:

Male (18-29+ years)

BMR (MJ/day) predicted

$$- 2.84 + 0.064W$$

(FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985)

BMR (MJ/day) measured

$$- 2.717 + 0.056W$$

(Ismail *et al*, 1994)

Female (18-29+ years)

BMR (MJ/day) predicted

$$- 2.08 + 0.0615W$$

(FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985)

BMR (MJ/day) measured

$$- 2.035 + 0.057W$$

(Ismail *et al*, 1994)

W is the weight in kg

RESULTS

The physical characteristic of male athletes is shown in Table 1. With the exception of discus, basketball and weightlifters group II (84-110kg), the mean body weight is closely matched in a number of different sports (61-67 kg). Percentage body fat ranges from 8.8% to 18.0%. The inherent limitation of measuring skinfold is apparent in weightlifters group II which recorded 27.2% body fat.

The daily activity pattern of male athletes during centralised training is shown in Table 2. Overall, male athletes spends about 16½ hours (68% of day) doing light activities such as sleeping, sitting activities and standing quietly; 3½ hours (15% of day) doing moderate activities such as walking, up/down stairs, praying and personal activities; and 4 hours (17%) doing moderate to heavy activities related to training.

Table 1. Physical characteristics of male athletes (mean ± SD)

Type of sport	n	Age (yr)	Weight (kg)	Height (m)	Body fat (%)	LBM (kg)	BMI (kg/m ²)
1. Athletics	6	23.5±3.7	63.3±4.4	1.72±0.06	9.3±1.6	57.3±3.7	21.0±0.3
Discus	1	25	85.5	1.73	17.1	70.9	28.6
2. Badminton	7	16.4±0.9	61.3±4.9	1.67±0.05	14.6±2.9	52.2±5.8	22.0±1.8
3. Basketball	11	24.4±3.3	84.3±5.2	1.88±0.05	14.1±2.3	72.4±3.6	23.9±1.4
4. Boxing	7	27.7±3.2	56.9±6.2	1.65±0.05	14.3±2.0	48.7±4.5	20.9±1.8
5. Hockey	15	25.1±2.8	67.4±6.7	1.73±0.07	11.4±2.5	59.7±5.9	22.5±1.5
6. Sepaktakraw	17	23.9±2.4	64.7±4.9	1.73±0.05	15.2±2.8	54.8±3.4	21.6±1.6
7. Silat	6	25.5± 1.4	67.3± 17.0	1.71±0.09	12.1±5.3	58.2±10.2	22.6±3.8
8. Swimming	6	18.0±1.6	65.1±5.8	1.74±0.06	8.8±1.8	59.4±5.5	21.4±1.3
9. Weightlifting							
Group I	5	27.8±2.1	63.9±8.7	1.60±0.05	18.0±4.1	52.1±5.3	25.0±2.3
Group II	3	27.0±5.7	110.0±7.4	1.73±0.00	27.2±1.3	80.0±4.0	36.9±1.5

Table 2. Daily activity pattern (mm/day) of male athletes during centralised training (mean ± SD)

Type of sport	n	Light		Moderate		Active	
		min	%	min	%	min	%
1. Athletics	6	1041± 93	72	192±34	13	207±42	15
Discus	1	1008	70	227	16	205	14
2. Badminton	7	991±106	69	183±36	13	266±23	18
3. Basketball	11	981±111	68	216±35	15	243±0	17
4. Boxing	7	1018±84	71	171±28	12	251±5	17
5. Hockey	15	990±1003	69	209±53	14	241±0	17
6. Sepaktakraw	17	938±135	65	222±50	15	280±77	20
7. Silat	6	952±87	66	250±47	17	238±10	17
8. Swimming	6	998±56	69	219±44	15	223±6	16
9. Weightlifting							
Group I	5	1011±12	70	237±50	17	192±17	13
Group II	3	1051±82	73	212±40	15	177±12	12

The energy cost (kcal/min) for the more common daily activities in males is shown in Table 3. The mean values for lying, sitting quietly, standing quietly, walking, up/down stairs, jogging and praying were 1.00, 1.24, 1.44, 3.00, 4.29, 6.60 and 1.79 kcal/min, respectively. A considerable variation in energy cost for similar activity was recorded which was mainly due to differences in body weight of the athletes.

The total daily energy expenditure in male athletes ranges from 2938 kcal (boxing) to 4861 kcal (weightlifting group II) while estimated energy requirement ranges from 44 to 55 kcal/kg body weight/day (Table 4). Physical activity level (PAL) of male athletes calculated using local BMR data ranges from 1.99 to 2.58 while those derived using predicted BMR (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985) ranges from 1.72 to 2.38 (Table 5).

Energy requirements of national athletes

Table 3. Energy cost (kcal/min) of common daily activities of male athletes

Activities	n	Mean	S.D.	Range
Lying	16	1.00	0.10	0.88 - 1.17
Sitting	16	1.24	0.18	0.94 - 1.64
Standing	16	1.44	0.20	1.06 - 1.95
Walking	16	3.00	0.45	2.17 - 3.70
Up/Down stairs	14	4.29	0.48	3.54 - 5.25
Jogging	15	6.60	1.28	3.38 - 8.66
Praying	5	1.79	0.07	1.71 - 1.88

Table 4. Total daily energy expenditure of male athletes during centralised training (mean \pm SD)

Type of sport	n	Total daily energy expenditure	
		kcal/day	kcal/kg/day
1. Athletics	6	2989 \pm 286	47
Discus	1	4104	48
2. Badminton	7	2963 \pm 255	48
3. Basketball	11	4584 \pm 277	55
4. Boxing	7	2938 \pm 340	53
5. Hockey	17	3534 \pm 447	51
6. Sepaktakraw	17	3191 \pm 447	49
7. Silat	6	3772 \pm 898	55
8. Swimming	6	3285 \pm 271	52
9. Weightlifting			
Group I	5	2991 \pm 511	46
Group II	3	4861 \pm 419	44

Table 5. Physical activity level (PAL) of male athletes

Type of Sport	Energy Expenditure kcal/day	BMR (kcal/day)		PAL	
		Measured ¹	Predicted ²	1	2
1. Athletics	2989	1497	1647	2.00	1.81
Discus	4101	1794	1987	2.29	2.07
2. Badminton	2963	NA	1724	NA	1.72
3. Basketball	4584	1778	1969	2.58	2.38
4. Boxing	2938	1411	1550	2.08	1.89
5. Hockey	3534	1551	1710	2.28	2.06
6. Sepaktakraw	3191	1515	1669	2.11	1.91
7. Silat	3772	1550	1709	2.43	2.21
8. Swimming	3285	NA	1790	NA	1.83
9. Weightlifting					
Group I	2991	1505	1657	1.99	1.80
Group II	4861	2123	2364	2.29	2.06

BMR¹ - Ismail et al (1994), BMR² - FAO/WHO/UNU (1985), NA - Not available

Table 6. Physical characteristics of female athletes (mean ± SD)

Type of Sport	n	Age (yr)	Weight (kg)	Height (m)	Body fat (%)	LBM (kg)	BMI (kg/m ²)
1. Athletics	3	22.0± 0.8	51.3±1.2	1.66±0.03	17.4±1.4	42.4± 1.7	18.6±1.0
Shot-putt	1	27	71.8	1.69	32.3	48.6	25.1
2. Basketball	13	21.6±1.9	61.4±5.4	1.70±0.07	25.6±4.7	45.6± 3.6	21.2±1.4
3. Silat	2	25.5±4.5	51.0±11	1.54±0.07	24.5±8.9	37.6±3.8	21.5±3.0
4. Swimming	5	16.2±2.1	53.1±3.1	1.61±0.04	25.3±1.3	39.7±1.7	20.6±1.5

The physical characteristics of female athletes is shown in Table 6. Mean body weight ranges from 51kg to 72kg, percentage body fat, from 17.4% to 32.3%, the latter (shot-putt) may be overestimated due to muscle mass.

The daily activity pattern of female athletes (Table 7) is quite similar to that of male athletes with the exception that they spend on an average 30 minutes less in moderate to heavy activities related to training. Light activities constitute 16½ hours, moderate 4 hours and active mainly training 3½ hours of the day.

The energy cost of some common activities is shown in Table 8. The mean values were, lying (0.84 kcal/min) sitting quietly (0.97 kcal/min), standing quietly (1.13 kcal/min), walking (2.04 kcal/min), up/down stairs (3.93 kcal/min), jogging (5.62 kcal/min) and praying (1.65 kcal/min). Just like the males, the metabolic cost in female athletes vary according to the body weight.

Table 7. Daily activity pattern (min/day) of female athletes during centralised training (mean ± SD)

Type of sport	n	Light		Moderate		Active	
		min	%	min	%	min	%
1. Athletics	3	1070± 73	74	212±32	15	158±39	11
Shot-putt	1	1091	76	169	12	180	12
2. Basketball	13	985±64	68	224±41	16	231±0	16
3. Silat	2	925±80	64	274±8	19	241±3	17
4. Swimming	5	968± 116	67	248±33	17	224±5	16

Table 8. Energy cost (kcal/min) of common daily activities of female athletes

Activities	n	Mean	S.D.	Range
Lying	3	0.84	0.02	0.83 - 0.87
Sitting	3	0.97	0.05	0.90 - 1.02
Standing	3	1.13	0.05	0.92 - 1.23
Walking	3	2.04	0.26	1.42 - 2.28
Up/Down stair	3	3.93	0.66	3.10 - 4.60
Jogging	3	5.62	1.59	3.38 - 6.88
Praying	3	1.65	0.12	1.51-1.82

The total daily energy expenditure of female athletes ranges from 2295 kcal (mostly adolescent) to 3098 kcal in basketball players while estimated energy requirement ranges from 40 to 50 kcal/kg body weight/day (Table 9). Their physical activity level (PAL) were between 1.77 to 2.34 using local BMR data and between 1.65-2.21 when predicted BMR (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985) were used (Table 10).

DISCUSSION

The anthropometric data revealed that although the mean body weight is closely matched in a number of different sports, there exist a wide range of body weight within each type of sports. Detailed discussion on the physical characteristics of male and female national athletes has been reported earlier (Wan Nudri, Ismail & Zawiah, 1996). In a study on Thai Olympic amateur boxers (Kijboonchoo *et al*, 1991) reported that, with the exception of body fat ($10.6 \pm 1.4\%$) as compared to $14.3 \pm 2.0\%$ in Malaysian boxers, the mean body weight were quite similar, $57.8 \pm 5.5\text{kg}$ as compared to $56.9 \pm 6.2\text{kg}$, respectively, while their mean height were similar at 1.65m. Depending on the type of sports, elite athletes are under immense pressure to achieve an ideal body physique. Coaches and athletes are in constant dilemma as to what constitute desirable body weight and body fat to enhance performance. There are probably many opinion on the matter but what is certain in a highly competitive world of sports today is that, they will attempt to do whatever they believe to win “gold” through dietary manipulation to achieve the desired body composition.

Table 9. Total daily energy expenditure of female athletes during centralised training (mean \pm SD)

Type of sport	n	Total daily energy expenditure	
		kcal/day	kcal/kg/day
1. Athletics	3	2099 \pm 279	40
Shot-putt	1	2731	38
2. Basketball	13	3098 \pm 269	50
3. Silat	2	2522 \pm 531	49
4. Swimming	5	2295 \pm 134	43

Table 10. Physical activity level (PAL) of female athletes

Type of Sport	Energy Expenditure kcal/day	BMR (kcal/day)		PAL	
		Measured ¹	Predicted ²	1	2
1. Athletics	2099	1185	1251	1.77	1.68
Shot-putt	2731	1465	1553	1.86	1.76
2. Basketball	3098	1323	1400	2.34	2.21
3. Silat	2522	1181	1247	2.14	2.02
4. Swimming	2295	NA	1394	NA	1.65

BMR¹ - Ismail et al (1994)

BMR² - FAO/WHO/UNU (1985)

NA - Not available (mostly adolescents)

The activity patterns for both male and female athletes for different sports reflect the centralised training programme they were undergoing hence, time spent on various activities related to training were fairly consistent. In comparison with normal adult population in Malaysia who spent 2-3% of the day, doing heavy activities (Ismail *et al*, 1994), the athletes on an average spent 15-17% of the day in activities related to training. The time spent for heavy activities (17% of day) were similar to that of soldiers residing in base camp (Ismail, Isa & Janudin, 1996). In estimating energy expenditure of any individual, it is known that larger errors are likely to arise from the failure to determine correctly the length of time spent in doing the prescribe activity rather than the assessment of the metabolic cost of that activity. It is therefore necessary to monitor closely athletes activity in order to avoid erroneous estimate of total daily energy expenditure.

The metabolic cost (kcal/min) of common daily activities of athletes were consistently higher when compared to earlier studies in nonathlete Malaysian. Several studies has also been reported that energy cost at rest and work in the tropics are lower than those reported in temperate climate (Saha *et al*, 1985; Thongprasert & Chaivatsagool, 1985; Ismail & Zawiah, 1988). It is therefore important to use local data (if available) to avoid over-estimation of energy requirement.

Total daily energy expenditure is influenced by three major factors, namely, basal metabolic rate (BMR) or sometime referred as resting metabolic rate (RMR), thermogenesis and physical activity all of which are affected, directly or indirectly by age, sex, body size and climate (Pellett, 1990). Several studies have reported differences in resting metabolic rate between trained and untrained males subjects (Lennon *et al*, 1985; Poehlman *et al*, 1988).

Kijboonchoo *et al* (1991) reported a mean daily energy expenditure of 3410 ± 350 kcal in Thai boxers, higher than that recorded for Malaysian boxers (2938 ± 340 kcal). The difference observed, despite quite similar body weight may be explained by the use of mostly adopted values (Passmore & Durnin, 1955) for energy cost of activities and as suggested by the author may overestimate energy expenditure. Furthermore, while our study measures the athletes, the energy expenditure of Thai boxers were derived from values reported by Thongprasert *et al* (1985) who studied nonathlete population.

The FAO/WHO/UNU (1985) report has proposed that the average daily desirable activity allowance of adults be expressed as PAL (Physical activity level) value. Two important components to derive PAL value are, total daily energy expenditure (TDEE) and basal metabolic rate (BMR). Using the measured BMR values of adult Malaysians (Ismail *et al*, 1994) we were able to compare PAL using BMR predicted values suggested by FAO/WHO/UNU (1985). The measured BMR in males were 10-13% and in females 5-8% lower than the predicted BMR values derived from FAO/WHO/UNU (1985) equations, but were in close agreement with Henry & Rees (1991) equations, which are derived from populations living in the tropics. The difference in PAL values was expected as seen in Table 5 for male and Table 10 for female athletes. Comparison for badminton and swimming (both sexes) were not made because the athletes are adolescents and we do not have measured BMR for this age-group when the study was conducted.

CONCLUSION

The study being first of its kind involving national athletes provide the much needed baseline data on energy requirements of this selected sports. We propose that the recommended daily energy intake for male athletes according to various types of sports range from 44-55 kcal/kg/day and female athletes between 38-50 kcal/ kg/day.

There is a need for more research to be conducted locally, since each athlete's energy requirement varies from others. While Malaysia strive hard to be an entity to be reckon in organising international sporting events at the "highest level", it is imperative that we work as hard to prepare our national athletes to match it.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank the National Sports Council of Malaysia (NSC) for their financial support. We are also indebted to the National Sports Associations, the coaches and most of all the athletes that participated in this study.

REFERENCES

- Chen JD, Wang FJ, Zhao WY, Wang WS, Jiao Y & Hou NY (1989). Nutritional problems and measures in elite and amateur athletes. *Am J Clin Nutr* 49: 1084-1089.
- Durnin JVGA & Rahaman MM (1967). The assessment of the amount of fat in the human body from measurements of skinfold thickness. *Br J Nutr* 21: 681-689.
- Durnin JVGA & Womersley J (1974). Body fat assessed from total body density and its estimation from skinfold thickness measurements of 481 men and women aged 16-72 years. *Br J Nutr* 32: 77-97.
- FAO/WHO/UNU (1985). Energy and Protein Requirements. *Technical Report No 724 World Health Organisation, Geneva.*
- Grandjean CA (1989). Macronutrient intake of US athletes compared with the general population and recommendations made for athletes. *Am J Clin Nutr* 49: 1060-1066.
- Ismail MN & Chi CB (1987). Energy balance study in obese students. *Proc Nutr Soc Mal* 3: 43-50.
- Ismail MN & Zawiah H (1988). Energy requirements of Malaysian students. *Proc Nutr Soc Mal* 3: 63-72.
- Ismail MN, Zawiah H, Chee SS & Ng KK (1994). Evaluation of energy requirements for Malaysia. *Final report IRPA Programme (Medical sector) IRPA 03-07-03-071, UKM.*

- Ismail MN, Wan Nudri & Zawiah H (1995). Daily energy intake, energy expenditure and activity patterns of selected Malaysian sportsmen. *Mal J Nutr* 1:141-149.
- Ismail MN, Isa M & Janudin A (1996). Energy requirements of Malaysian soldiers in a base camp. *Mal J Nutr* 2:168-174.
- James WPT & Schofield EC (1990). Human energy requirements: A manual for Planners and Nutritionists. *Oxford University Press*. Oxford, UK.
- Kijboonchoo KT, Akrapanthu A & Chaivatsagool W (1991). Dietary intake and energy expenditure of the Thai Olympic amateur boxers, 1998. *Thai J Sports Sc* 1(1):22-29.
- Lennon D, Nagle F, Stratman F, Shrago E & Dennis S (1985). Diet and exercise training effects on testing metabolic rate. *Internal J Obesity* 9: 39-47.
- Papadokonstantaki M, Pavlou KN & Hassapidu M (1993). Nutrient intake of Greek elite athletes. *World Rev Nutr Dietet* 71: 183-184.
- Passmore R & Durnin JVGA (1955). Human energy expenditure. *Physiol Rev* 35: 801-840.
- Pellett PL (1990). Food energy requirement in humans. *Am J Clin Nutr* 51: 711-722.
- Poehlman ET, Melby CL & Badylak SF (1988). Resting metabolic rate and post-prandial thermogenesis in highly trained and untrained males. *Am J Clin Nutr* 47: 793-798.
- Saha N, Tan PY & Banerjee B (1985). Energy balance study in Singapore medical students. *Ann Nutr Metab* 26:216-222.
- Saris WHM, van Erp-Baart MA, Brouns F, Westerterp KR & ten Hoor F (1989). Study on food intake and energy expenditure during extreme sustained exercise: the Tour de France. *Internat J Sports Med* 10: S26-S31.
- Thongprasert K & Chaivatsagool W (1985). BMR, RMR and energy expenditure in various activities. *Intern Med* 1: 88-91.
- van Erp-Baart AMJ, Saris WHM, Binkharst RA, Vos JA & Elvers JWH (1989). Nationwide survey on nutritional habits in elite athletes. Part I: Energy, carbohydrate, protein and fat intake. *Internat J Sports Med* 10: S3-10.
- Wan Nudri WD, Ismail MN, Zawiah H (1996). Anthropometric measurements and body composition of selected national athletes. *Mal J Nutr* 2:138-147.
- Weir JBdeV (1949). New method for calculating metabolic rate with special reference to protein metabolism. *J Physiol* 109: 1-9.

Energy requirements of national athletes

Westerterp KR, Saris WHM, van Es M, ten Hoor F (1986). Use of the doubly labelled water techniques in human during heavy sustained exercise. *J Appl Physiol* 61: 2162-2167.